IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

PRESENT
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY
WRIT PETITION NO : 17477 of 2006

Dated 23rd August, 2006

Between
Dr.B.Sreekanth and another

… Petitioners

And

Sri Krishnadevaraya University,
represented by its Registrar,
Ananthapur and 9 others.

… Respondents.

ORAL ORDER:

In this writ petition, the petitioner seeks a mandamus to declare the action of the respondents in absorbing respondents 3 to 10 as Assistant Professors pursuant to their initial appointment as Assistant Professors on contractual basis, as illegal and arbitrary, and, to direct the respondents to notify the said posts of Assistant Professors in the groups of Arts and Science to fill up the same.

The first respondent-University, earlier issued notification dated 6.4.2005, inviting applications to fill up 8 posts of Assistant Professors on contract basis. The petitioners as well as respondents 3 to 10 were the applicants in the selections pursuant to the said notification. It is stated that the petitioners were not selected, whereas respondents 3 to 10 were selected and appointed. The selected candidates were appointed for a contract period up to the end of March, 2007.

It is the grievance of the petitioners that the said posts were filled up only for a specific period i.e. up to end of March, 2007. But, now, steps are being taken to regularize their services in the vacant posts of Assistant Professors.

It is submitted by learned Standing Counsel for the University that no orders are passed regularizing the services of the respondents 3 to 10 and only by securing a copy of the agenda note of the Executive Council, this writ petition is filed without any cause of action. It is further stated that even the earlier selections were made by the Selection Committee, though the period of contract is mentioned as up to end of March, 2007.

So far as the appointments made earlier pursuant to notification dated 6.4.2005 are concerned, they are not questioned in this writ petition. The petitioners, who did not come up for selection, cannot also question the selections made in the year 2005, at this stage. The appointments of respondents 3 to 10 are made up to the end of March, 2007. It is stated that no orders are passed regularizing the services and merely basing on the agenda note of the Executive Council, the petitioners have come up with this writ petition.

Before appropriate decision is taken by the authority and orders are passed, there is absolutely no basis to entertain this writ petition at this stage. The writ petition is devoid of any merits and is dismissed at the stage of admission. No order as to costs.

_____

23.8.2006

(R.SUBHASH REDDY, J.)

VR